







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/996,061	11/27/2001	Max Schaldach	7163-32	3174	
21324 7:	590 03/17/2004		EXAMINER		
	SER & PARKS, LLP	THALER, MICHAEL H			
TWIN OAKS ESTATE 1225 W. MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AKRON, OH 44313			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					a_{7}			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
.√ Office Action Summary		09/996,0	31	SCHALDACH ET AL.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Michael 1	haler	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) fi	led on						
2a) ☐ This actio		2b) ☐ This action is r	on-final.					
3)☐ Since this								
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	ims							
4) Claim(s)	1-52 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	<u>1-52</u> are subject to restric	tion and/or election re	quirement.					
Application Paper	s							
9)☐ The speci	fication is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
· —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119							
12)∐ Acknowle a)∐ All b)	dgment is made of a clair ☐ Some * c)☐ None of: rtified copies of the priorit			a)-(d) or (f).				
	rtified copies of the priorit	•		tion No.				
	pies of the certified copie)			
	plication from the Internat				•			
• •	ached detailed Office act	•		red.				
·								
Attachment(s)				_				
· <u>—</u>	ices Cited (PTO-892)	/PTO 048)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
	erson's Patent Drawing Review osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/996,061

Art Unit: 3731

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18 and 21-52, drawn to a stent and/or catheter for implanting the stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11.
- II. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to a process for producing a stent, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, it could be obtained directly from a host body rather than being produced from cells cultivated in a shaping mold.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,061 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

In addition, if invention I is elected, the following will apply:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The species of figure 1;

The species of figures 2 and 3 and

The species of figures 4a and 4b.

Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant

Application/Control Number: 09/996,061

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEF § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael

Application/Control Number: 09/996,061

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can The fax phone number for the be reached on (703)308-2496. organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

mht 3/16/04 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3731